IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

R.F.A. No. 2402 of 2004
Date of decision December 6, 2006

Sukhbir Singh

.....Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL

Present:- S/Sh. Ram Chand , Advocate
Rajiv Sharma, Advocate
Sanjay Bansal, Advocate
R.A. Yadav, Advocate
Sanjay Mehta, Advocate
Adish Gupta, Advocate
Gaurav Kathuria, Advocate
Vikram Chaudhary, Advocate
Diwan Adlakha, Advocate
Navjinder S. Sidhu, Advocate
Sanjay Vermani, Advocate
Vikas Awasthi, Advocate
Vikas Jain, Advocate
Adarsh Jain, Advocate

Sh. H. S. Hooda, Advocate General,
Haryana with Sh. Ramesh Hooda,
Advocate for the State.

Sh. Raman Gaur, Advocate

****

Viney Mittal, J (oral)

The learned counsel for the parties very fairly state that the controversy involved in the present appeals as well as the cross objections filed by the claimants are squarely covered by a judgment dated May 3, 2006 passed in RFA No. 2501 of 2001 (Ved Pal and others Vs. State of Haryana and others).

In view of the aforesaid fact, all the present appeals and

the cross objections are disposed of in terms of the judgment dated May 3, 2006 passed in Ved Pal's case (supra).

(VINEY MITTAL)
JUDGE

December 6, 2006
archana